

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,204	ALISON ET AL.
	Examiner Daniel M Sullivan	Art Unit 1636

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

(3) _____.

(2) Lauren Sliger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58-62,64-68

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Anne-Marie Falk

**ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Applicant's representative that cancellation of claims according to the new amendment format requires submission of a substitute claim set. Therefore, the parties agreed to cancel claims 66-68 by examiner's amendment. The parties also discussed the antecedent basis for "the composition" of claims 58-62, 64 and 65..